ASO Survey Report

Cache la Poudre River Basin, CO

Survey Date: May 22, 2023

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc. is a public benefit corporation with a mission to provide high-quality, timely, and accurate snow measurement, modeling, and runoff forecasts to empower the world's water managers to make the best possible use of our planet's precious water.

Historical data and reports can be found at:
data.airbornesnowobservatories.com

Dear colleagues,

Because many of you are new to the world of Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc. measurements, I want to give you a short primer on ASO, how to read the report, and how to understand the accuracy of the products provided here. The first Airborne Snow Observatory was developed by our team at the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory to provide the first-ever, highly accurate snow water equivalent measurements across mountain basins. The data you will see are therefore very special.

How ASO works

An Airborne Snow Observatory couples scanning lidar and imaging spectrometer on a twin turbo prop aircraft, flying mountain basins to provide complete coverage of snow depth, snow water equivalent (SWE), and snow albedo. The scanning lidar determines topography of the snow surface, including beneath the forest canopy. From that snow surface, we subtract the bare ground surface that we measured previously during summer/fall to retrieve snow depth at 3 m (~10 ft) spatial resolution in a grid across the mountain basin. While this sounds straightforward, it is a complex process covered in our exclusive software license with the California Institute of Technology and the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, a software suite that we invented while at NASA JPL. The complexity comes from the analysis of GPS data for the aircraft, the inertial measurements of the attitude and changes in the attitude of the instruments, interaction of laser pulses with vegetation and the surface beneath, and interaction with complex topography. The historical validation of ASO snow depth retrievals shows that we have an unbiased measurement with an uncertainty of ~6 cm (2.4 in) at 3 m resolution. We coarsen the 3 m resolution to 50 m to be multiplied by density. At that resolution, the depth uncertainty is $(1/\sqrt{n})*6$ cm where n is the number of 3 m cells in the 50 m cell (in this case 277). Hence, the uncertainty in snow depth at the 50 m resolution is under 1 cm (0.4 in).

With the snow depth map in (virtual) hand, we incorporate it into our spatially-distributed snow density mapping with the iSnobal snowpack model developed over the last 30 years at the USDA Agricultural Research Service, and now operated by our subcontracted colleagues at M3Works (the team that wrote the vast majority of the current implementation of the model). When well-constrained by ASO snow depths and meteorological data as well as available snow density measurements, iSnobal provides accurate snow density distributions. Per grid cell, we multiply the depth and density to arrive at kg/m^3 of snow water equivalent, which can then be converted to meters of SWE by dividing by the density of water ($1000 kg/m^3$). This spatial distribution of SWE is used to update the snowpack model and can also be aggregated to a total SWE volume for the entire basin and for any desired subbasins. Given the criticality of ASO for water management, we also convert and report out SWE in thousand acre-feet (TAF).

The imaging spectrometer is used to map snow cover and snow albedo (reflectivity). We incorporate the snow cover map to assist with the snow depth measurements and to constrain the snowpack model. We likewise will use the snow albedos to update albedos in the models.

How to read the report

The report gives you the total basin SWE, uncertainty range, subbasin SWEs, approximate snowline, the map of the distributed SWE, the elevation distribution of SWE, and a radial plot showing SWE relative to elevation and aspect. Then the report provides the background on recent weather and the snowpack development as understood from available meteorological measurements. Finally, the report details the key components in understanding the accuracy of the distributed SWE measurements – in particular, depth and density.

How to understand the ASO SWE accuracy

I will explain here how I think about ASO accuracy and how the report presents the metrics. Fundamental to ASO's accuracy is the fact that the variance in SWE across the landscape is dominated by the variance in snow depth, while snow density varies far less. So, it is critical that we first measure snow depth accurately and then constrain the density distribution well.

You'll see our validation of snow depth with (1) bias estimates between snow acquisitions and snow-free acquisitions and then (2) against available in-situ snow depth measurements (though generally there are not nearly as many as we would love to have). How does the bias estimate help? At hundreds of thousands to millions of snow-free surface pixels around the basin, we can determine how elevations from the snow-on and snow-free flights differ – they generally vary by a few cm and we are able to lock the surfaces together at those places for tight calibration (with uncertainty of about 6 cm). Every one of these points gives us a snow depth measurement (that is very close to zero!). Then the comparison with in-situ snow depth measurements is used as a sanity check just to make sure the bias is applied correctly (and that it is on average smaller than 6 cm).

Next is the validation of the snow density modeling with field measurements, snow courses, and those snow pillows that have reliable, coincident snow depth measurements. Understanding these densities then allows us to use a central density field and a range of densities according to estimated density uncertainties, typically within a few percentage points.

By constraining snow depth well and snow density well, we produce the amazing SWE map. If you have any questions, please let me know at painter@airbornesnowobservatories.com.

Best to you all,
Tom Painter
CEO, Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.
A Public Benefit Corporation

CACHE LA POUDRE RIVER BASIN
MAY 22, 2023 SURVEY

Survey Date: May 22, 2023
Survey # of Water Year 2023: 1
Report Delivery Date: May 25, 2023

Full basin SWE: 121 ± 7 TAF
Estimated snowline: 9800 feet


Figure 1. Spatial distribution of SWE depth (m).

Table 1. Estimated SWE volume (TAF) for the full Poudre Basin and subbasins for the current survey.

| Basin	Estimated SWE (TAF) May 22
Poudre Basin	121
Uncertainty Range	*114 - 128*

2.a.

2.b.

Figure 2.a. Distribution of SWE volume (TAF) across elevations. *Figure 2.b.* Distribution of SWE volume (TAF) by aspect and elevation for the May 22 survey. See *Figure 7* and *Figure 8* for more descriptive plots.

Figure 3. Daily meteorological conditions at Joe Wright SNOTEL (551) (elevation 10158 ft). Note: the raw daily data shown has been downloaded directly from NRCS and has not been quality checked. There may be noise or incorrect data present. Precipitation data will only be shown if the featured station records it, and the air temperature plot shows daily max, mean, and min values. ASO surveys are marked with red vertical lines.

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.
A Public Benefit Corporation

Summary of background conditions

- SNOTEL station data indicates that beginning in late November, the basin snowpack largely kept pace with the long-term median. Beginning in late December, much of the northern Front Range saw snow accumulation outpace the median, and remain above normal until an early peak in April. Recently, the extended unsettled weather has contributed to SWE at high elevations.
- A strong, southwesterly wind event on April 3rd deposited a substantial dust load over most of the Colorado mountains, including the Poudre River basin. Although covered intermittently by recent snowfall events, this dark dust layer has been enhancing snowmelt and runoff rates for the past month, contributing to faster-than-normal ablation at many Front Range stations.

Evaluation of ASO snow depth measurements

Point-to-point comparison of in-situ snow depths with ASO 3 m resolution snow depth* is shown in **Table 2.**

These depth comparisons are at stations for which we are very confident in 1) the location, and 2) the depth data that is being reported at the time of the ASO survey. Because we are directly comparing a point to a 3 m pixel in our data, we need to be certain that the station location is accurate to within 1.5 m. For reference, GPS data is usually only accurate to within 5 m, but we are often able to hone in on locations using Google Earth and other means, thereby enabling these comparisons. For these reasons, specific sites might not be included in the comparison. Please contact the ASO team to converge on accurate and precise coordinates and/or investigate data quality issues for any sites of interest.

At these known and trusted station locations in the Poudre Basin, the mean snow depth uncertainty was -0.5 cm. The Willow Park and Joe Wright SNOTELs were excluded due to missing depth readings.

*Note: Snow-free, planar surfaces, common between the snow-on and snow-off datasets, are used to co-register the elevation datasets throughout the basin. This relative registration process ensures that in areas without snow, we measure a snow depth of 0, and enforces snow depth accuracy throughout. At 3 m resolution, the standard deviation of snow depth distribution was 0.013 m, unbiased. At 50 m resolution, the snow depth uncertainty based on a rigorous bare surface evaluation is less than 1 cm.

Table 2. Comparison of ASO and snow pillow snow depths. Note: ASO long-term depth uncertainty is ± 8 cm.

Site	Elevation (ft)	Date	Site Depth (cm)	ASO Depth (cm)	Depth Difference (cm)
Long Draw Resv	9980	5/22/23	41	43	2
Hourglass Lake	9380	5/22/23	3	0	-3
				Mean	-0.5

Evaluation of snow density

Physically based model - iSnobal
- As this is the first survey of the season in the Poudre basin, the iSnobal model is only now being updated with data from the May 22nd airborne survey.
- The mean spatially distributed snow density from the open-loop model on May 22nd is 502 ± 17 kg/m^3.

In-situ measurements
ASO field collections
- ASO staff did not collect any field measurements for this survey.

Sensor measurements
- In order to better evaluate the model within the Poudre River basin, we expanded our density analysis to include nearby sites in the nearby Colorado River at Windy Gap and Big Thompson watersheds.
- The mean snow density reported on May 22nd from four locations (**) was 466 ± 23 kg/m^3, with a range of 445-49 4kg/m^3. (** Lake Irene, Bear Lake, Long Draw Reservoir, and Deadman Hill SNOTELs.)
- To account for missing snow depth measurements at Willow Park and Joe Wright SNOTELs, we have estimated snow density at pillow locations through a density inversion using ASO 3 m depth and pillow SWE. The estimated mean bulk density from these inversions at two locations was 464 ± 40 kg/m^3.

Snow course measurements
- The May snow course measurements were available at the time of processing, however, they were not included in our density analysis due to the amount of time since the surveys were undertaken (See **Figure 4**.)

Figure 4. *Daily snow density timeseries at automated sensor locations in the Poudre Basin and neighboring basins. (Data source: NRCS)*

Model evaluation & snow density adjustment

- The mean modeled snow density of 502 ± 17 kg/m³ is higher than the in-situ guidance of ~465 kg/m³.
- The distribution of modeled snow density with elevation (**Figure 5a**) suggests that the model is overestimating up to ~10600 ft (limited by the in-situ representation).
- At lower elevations (< 10600 ft), the model is reporting a mean bulk density of 509 kg/m³ which is 9% higher than the in-situ mean of ~465 kg/m³ at the same elevations.
- To address these overestimation biases in the model, the bulk densities were reduced using a constant 9% reduction of bulk density. We applied this reduction across all elevations to preserve the model distribution with elevation and snow depth.
- The resulting mean-adjusted snow density across the basin was reduced to 457 ± 15 kg/m³, and the mean in lower elevations (< 10600 ft) was reduced to 463 kg/m³.
- After adjustment, the bias in snow density calculated using point-to-point comparisons at in-situ locations was reduced to +7 kg/m³ from +60 kg/m³ (model open-loop).
- Using the open-loop model density, the full basin SWE was 132 TAF and after snow density adjustments were applied, the basin SWE estimate was reduced to 121 TAF. The snow density adjustments decreased the basin SWE estimate by 8%.

- The in-situ measurements are constrained to elevations < 10600 ft, leaving higher elevation snow largely unconstrained. To address the remaining uncertainty in bulk snow density at high-elevation we have generated two snow density scenarios. In Scenario H, we adopt a density reduction of 4.5% (a smaller reduction than what was described above), and increase densities above 10600 ft by 4% - towards 447 kg/m^3. In Scenario L, we adopt a reduction of 11% (a larger reduction than what was described above), but reduce densities above 10600 ft by 4% - towards 413 kg/m^3.
- The resulting full basin SWE outcomes for these scenarios were 115 TAF and 130 TAF respectively, and suggest that the basin SWE is sensitive to an uncertainty range from the snow density of up to 15 TAF (high scenario minus low scenario SWE). These scenarios should be considered to span the reasonable range of snow density scenarios rather than equally possible snow density outcomes. We have factored uncertainty based on these outcomes into the values reported on the front page of this report.

Table 3. *Snow density scenarios and SWE volume estimates. The 'Adjusted Density' is used in calculating the reported SWE. The other density scenarios are computed to evaluate the density sensitivity and to help determine the uncertainty in the reported SWE values.*

| Scenario	Spatial-mean density (kg/m³)	SWE (TAF)	Description
Adjusted density	**456**	**121**	**Adjusted density map & ASO depths**
M3W (May 22 value)	501	147	Modeled SWE
Open-loop	501	132	Modeled density map and ASO depths
Scenario L	433	115	ASO depths + partially adjusted snow density with an 11% global density reduction and an additional 4% reduction applied > 10600 ft
Scenario H	492	130	ASO depths + partially adjusted snow density with a 4.5% global density reduction and an increase of 4% > 10600 ft

5.a.

5.b.

Figure 5. *Observed and modeled bulk snow density (kg/m³) by snow depth (m) for **a**. open-loop and **b**. adjusted densities. Red circles represent modeled densities of melting snow (cold content = 0), blue diamonds represent modeled densities of cold snow (cold content < 0).*

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.
A Public Benefit Corporation

6.a.

6.b.

Figure 6. *Observed and modeled bulk snow density (kg/m³) by snow depth (m) for* **a.** *open-loop and* **b.** *adjusted densities. Red circles represent modeled densities of melting snow (cold content = 0), blue diamonds represent modeled densities of cold snow (cold content < 0).*

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.
A Public Benefit Corporation

Additional data/ remarks

Snow albedo

- Well-illuminated survey targets with clear skies above the flight altitude are required for robust albedo retrieval. Challenging weather conditions in the Front Range basins in recent weeks have pushed us to conduct operations very early in the morning, when cloud cover is minimal. The May 22nd survey of the Poudre was conducted 6 - 9am MDT, under suboptimal illumination conditions. Additionally, the intense wildfire smoke flowing in from Alberta nearly completely obscured the ground from flight level. While the lidar can readily penetrate the smoke, the spectrometer cannot. As such, we cannot produce albedo products for this survey.

Other

- Please refer to the text files included in the data package for SWE volume per elevation band and other summary statistics.

Additional data / remarks

7.a.

7.b.

Figure 7.a. & 7.b. SWE volume (TAF) and depth (m) by aspect and elevation for May 22 survey.

8.a.

8.b.

Figure 8.a. Distribution of SWE volume (TAF) across elevations for the May 22 survey. 8.b. Distribution of SWE depth (in) across elevations; solid line represents median SWE depth (in), lighter color bands represent the 25th to 75th percentile.

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc.
A Public Benefit Corporation